

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/581,999	Applicant(s) WARRILLOW ET AL.	
	Examiner AWET HAILE	Art Unit 2474	

All Participants:

 (1) AWET HAILE.

 (2) H. Warren Burnam, Jr.(Reg. No. 29,366).

Status of Application: ____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 November 2010
Time: 10:30 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103 and 112

Claims discussed:

1, 37, 40-43 and 46

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called applicant's representative Warren Burnam to discuss allowable subject matter. However an agreement was not reached, thus, examiner's attempt for compact prosecution was unsuccessful

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)